SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) 1 United States Attorney 2 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division 3 DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) 4 Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 6 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 7 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 3-06-70636 EDL 13 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION 14 RECALLING AND REISSUING SUMMONS AND (2) EXCLUDING TIME 15 FROM MAY 16, 2007 TO JULY 6, 2007 MARVIN EARL WEBB, FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 On October 3, 2006, based on a criminal complaint presented by Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives Special Agent Tommy Ho, the Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte 20 21 lissued a summons for the Defendant to appear on November 7, 2006 at 9:30 a.m. On November 7, 2006, after the parties filed a Joint Stipulation seeking to recall and reissue the summons and exclude time, the Honorable Judge Edward Chen ordered that the summons be recalled and 23 reissued for February 2, 2007 and that the time from October 6, 2006 through February 2, 2007 24 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations. On February 1, 2007, upon filing of a Joint Stipulation seeking to recall and reissue the summons and exclude time, the Honorable Judge Maria Elena James ordered that the summons be recalled and reissued for April 10, 2007 and that the time from February 2, 2007 through April 10, 2007 shall be excluded from the

Speedy Trial Act calculations. Thereafter, on March 27, 2007, upon filing of a Joint Stipulation seeking to recall and reissue the summons and exclude time, the Honorable Judge Joseph C. Spero ordered that the summons be recalled and reissued for May 16, 2007 and that the time from April 10, 2007 through May 16, 2007 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations.

Counsel for the Government and Defendant continue to discuss resolving this matter by Rule 20 transfer to the Middle District of Tennessee to permit the Defendant, who suffers from significant health issues, to resolve the matter in that District. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(G). Defendant is currently hospitalized in Birmingham, Alabama recovering from a staph infection arising after recent neck surgery. The defendant's health problems still significantly limit his ability to travel outside of the Tennessee area. Due to these health complications, it will be impossible for the Defendant to appear in San Francisco on May 16, 2007. The parties hereby seek additional time to allow the Defendant to recover from his illness and to continue discussing the best means to resolve this matter and effect the Rule 20 transfer. Further, counsel for the Defendant does not believe it is in his client's best interests for the government to indict the case within 30 days of the service of the summons, as required under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

Accordingly, the parties have agreed as follows:

- 1. The summons for May 16, 2007 should be recalled, and a new summons should be reissued for July 6, 2007.
- 2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from May 16, 2007 to July 6, 2007. Failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny both government and defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and deny the Government continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the need for both sides to investigate the facts of the case, and the on-going attempts to reach a resolution of the matter involving a Rule 20 transfer. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), 3161(h)(1)(G).
- 3. Given these circumstances, parties agree and the Court should find that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from May 16, 2007 to July 6, 2007. outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. <u>Id.</u> at § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO STIPULATED. DATED: May 14, 2007 **DENISE MARIE BARTON** Assistant United States Attorney DATED: May 14, 2007 **HUGH MUNDY** Attorney for MARVIN EARL WEBB IT IS SO ORDERED. The summons for the Defendant's appearance on May 16, 2007 is hereby RECALLED. A new summons requiring the Defendant to appear on July 6, 2007. shall issue. Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and for the reasons set forth above, the time from May 16, 2007 to July 6, 2007 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations. May 15, 2007 DATED: States Magistrate Ind IS SO ORDERED Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte

STIPULATION AND ORDER 3-06-70636 EDL